UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,691 03/25/2004	John William Kostenko	FKL-017	6065	
37694 7590 03/1: WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LL	EXAMINER			
2700 CAREW TOWER	KORNAKOV, MICHAIL			
441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CINCINNATI, 011 43202	1746			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSI	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS	03/13/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 03/13/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dgoodman@whepatent.com usptodock@whepatent.com

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/808,691		KOSTENKO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	,			
			Michael Kornakov		1746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm of period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are depatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period with the statutory period with the statute.	ATE OF THIS CO 16(a). In no event, however ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be time siX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>16 Ju</u>	ne 2005.					
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
- 8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or e	election requireme	∍nt.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	г.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) <u>□</u> acce	epted or b) 🗌 obje	ected to by the E	xaminer.	•		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the c	drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority under 35	U.S,C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority			• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•			d in this National	Stage		
	application from the Internation			• •				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	or the certified co	pies not received	α.			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of plasma cleaning, classified in class 134, subclass 1.2.
 - II. Claims 10-17, drawn to a plasma cleaning, classified in class 134, subclass 18.
 - III. Claims 18-31, drawn to a processing system, classified in class 156, subclass 345.24.
 - IV. Claims 19-45, drawn to another processing system, classified in class 156, subclass 345.24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require applying a power to a substrate holder or to a substrate holder support or to a

Art Unit: 1746

process tube. The subcombination has separate utility such as etching the semiconductor substrate or surface modification of the semiconductor substrate.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Inventions of Groups I, II and Groups III, IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used for etching or surface modification of the semiconductor substrate, which is different from the cleaning process.
- 4. Inventions of Group III and Group IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other

Art Unit: 1746

combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require selecting a system component from a substrate holder, a substrate holder support, or a process tube. The subcombination has separate utility such as a processing system wherein etchants or dopants are introduced or wherein the deposition conditions are formed.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/808,691 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. If the invention of Group I is elected, Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the species of monitoring as per claims 5 or 6 or 7. The species are independent or distinct because they represent variety of techniques for monitoring signal from the processing system, applied within the same cleaning process
- 8. If the invention of Group II is elected, Group II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the species of monitoring as per claims 13, 14, 15. The species are independent or distinct because they represent variety of techniques for monitoring signal from the processing system, applied within the same cleaning process.
- 9. If the invention of Group III is elected, Group III contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the species of monitoring system as per claims 21, 22, 23. The species are independent or distinct because they represent variety of monitoring systems, utilized within the same processing system.
- 10. If the invention of Group IV is elected, Group IV contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the species of monitoring system as per claims 34, 35, 36. The species are independent or distinct because they represent variety of monitoring systems, utilized within the same processing system.
- 11. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed specie out of each group of species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be

Art Unit: 1746

restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 10, 18, 32 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Art Unit: 1746

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. KORN SKO

Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746 Page 8

02/21/07